

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

SASA MASLIC, et al.,
Plaintiffs,

v.

ISM VUZEM D.O.O., et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 21-cv-02556-BLF

**ORDER STRIKING DEFENDANT
EISENMANN CORPORATION'S
ANSWER AND DIRECTING CLERK
TO ENTER DEFAULT AGAINST
DEFENDANT EISENMANN**

[Re: ECF 69, 109]

All counsel of record for Defendant Eisenmann Corporation have withdrawn from representation with leave of the Court. "The law is clear that a corporation can be represented only by a licensed attorney." *In re Bigelow*, 179 F.3d 1164, 1165 (9th Cir. 1999). No substitute counsel has appeared in the case.


On January 23, 2024, the Court issued an Order to Show Cause (ECF 109) why Defendant Eisenmann Corporation's answer (ECF 69) should not be stricken and default entered against it. At the direction of the Court, Defendant Eisenmann Corporation's former counsel served the Order to Show Cause on Defendant Eisenmann Corporation. *See* Am'd POS, ECF 117. The Order to Show Cause was served on Defendant Eisenmann Corporation on January 31, 2024. Defendant Eisenmann Corporation has not responded to the Order to Show Cause.

Accordingly, Defendant Eisenmann Corporation's answer (ECF 69) is STRICKEN.

The Clerk SHALL enter default against Defendant Eisenmann Corporation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 9, 2024


BETH LABSON FREEMAN
United States District Judge